

**The Formulation of Sustainable
Transport and Movement Strategies -
CASE STUDY The University of
Tasmania (Sandy Bay)**

Professional Project submitted by Nicola
Clennett

as a requirement of the Masters of Town
Planning at the University of Tasmania

November 1994.

Acknowledgments

Thanks must be made to all those who aided in the production of this Paper, most importantly:

Barrie Shelton and Judith Urquhart for their aid in the formulation of the paper

Andrew Harmer for his proof reading, constructive criticisms and help with attachments

Mark Bresnehan for his work on, and constructive criticisms of, diagrams

Tanya Doubleday for her help in the formatting of the paper and attachments

My family and work mates for their understanding and patience

I would like to thank the following people for their help and support during the production of this paper:

Barrie Shelton, Judith Urquhart, Andrew Harmer, Mark Bresnehan, Tanya Doubleday, my family and work mates.

Note

Base Maps used for attachments for this paper are compiled from a number of sources.

The 1:10 000 Maps are taken from the Hobart Planning Scheme Base Maps - hence the thick black line along Sandy Bay Road.

The 1:25 000 Map is compiled from two of the Tasmania 1:25 000 Orthophoto Series.

The remaining Maps are compiled from a number of 1:2000 Orthophoto Maps of the area. These Maps have been significantly reduced and there scale cannot be determined.

These Maps are the only ones available for the area. Unfortunately the standard 1:5000 orthophoto series has not been compiled for this area.

Abstract

The Modern City of Western Society is currently confronting many issues that will determine its future form. For those responsible for 'controlling' development patterns these issues are personified by the Sustainable Development Debate. Such debate is formulated upon a concern for the future ability of the earth's environment to handle society's growing pressures on its natural resources - earth, air and water.

The process through which the Western City has developed has lead to the establishment of development patterns, and social habits that effectively threaten the maintenance of these systems. This Study is concerned with those development patterns and habits centred around **transport patterns**, aiming at the establishment of recommendations and strategies to alter current systems and to provide movement alternatives to communities.

For the purpose of practical implementation, and more favourable community acceptance, the author choose to consider a specific area with existing traffic problems as a Case Study. Such Case Study was the University of Tasmania's Sandy Bay Campus.

The University experiences traffic and parking problems associated with on-campus parking and street formations, and ease of access to the neighbouring suburban area. The Campus provides insufficient parking on site in an inadequate form resulting in an overspill of traffic into residential streets. Traffic and parking in the area visually degrades building forms and streetscapes. It physically separates local residents from their neighbours on the opposite side of the street, creating problems with noise

and safety. Such concentration of traffic by the University and the Hobart City Council has placed the pedestrian, bicyclist and public transport patron into the 'too hard basket'. Services for these persons are substandard, illegible and often inaccessible.

With these concerns in mind, the author discusses the current theory on Traffic Calming - as a total streetscape issue - and Planning for Alternative Forms of Transport.

Considerations include:

- (a) The implementation of street forms that cater for all intended users, the motorist, bicyclist, pedestrian and resident in a practical, safe and visually attractive manner;
- (b) The advantages and disadvantages, implementation processes and relevance of public transit modes such as heavy rail, light rail and buses;
- (c) The advantages and disadvantages of non-motorised transport - walking and bicycling, including the necessary considerations in their design.

With these considerations in mind, the Study then discusses the problems of the Study Area in detail, including the role of the historical pattern of development in the current problems. These discussions highlight the inadequacies of parking form, street design, public transport legibility, services for the pedestrian and bicyclist, and traffic control.

Chapter 5 therefore lists the options available to address these problems, within the umbrella of theory discussed:

- (a) Consideration is given to the re-establishment of at least one of the
-

sportsgrounds to an alternative area of the title for the purpose of introducing a multi-level carpark, and further residential facilities;

- (b) An alternative parking policy is recommended allowing equal access to on-campus parking through the payment of a minimal fee;
- (c) A recommendation is made that pedestrian services both on-campus and off be improved through the use of paving, landscaping, and the introduction of street furniture and directional signage;
- (d) Consideration is given to the lack of facilities for bicyclists and a recommendation made that services be upgraded through the introduction of segregated and shared footways both on and off campus, providing continuous separation from traffic along major traffic routes. In addition parking facilities are recommended for on-campus accommodation through the provision of a sheltered parking area.
- (e) An alternative street system is recommended through the introduction of a number of street designs-based upon traffic calming techniques- providing improved visual amenity, reduced formation widths, safer and wider pedestrian facilities, segregated footways for bicycles, and improved safety at intersections
- (f) Recommendations are made to improve the legibility of the Metropolitan Transport Trust Services and to upgrade the services provided by the intra-campus bus service.

implemented will be applicable to any area experiencing traffic problems.

Evenmoreso, however, the author hopes that the problems raised and issues discussed within the Study can act as catalyst for the formulation of traffic and movement strategies for implementation in areas not yet confronted with these concerns, this is the real issue for the Sustainable future of Cities.

Although many of the recommendations made are specific to the site, the strategies

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction	1
(i) Land Use Planning	1
(ii) The Social, Environmental and Economical Impact	1
(iii) Sustainable Development	2
(iv) Sustainability and Transportation	3
(v) The Australian Contribution	3
(vi) The Implementation of Sustainable Transport Strategies	4
(vii) The University of Tasmania - Sandy Bay Campus	5
(viii) The Problem in General	5
 Stance	 7
 Chapter 1 The Study Area	 8
1.1 Physical and Statistical Characteristics	8
1.2 Statutory Control	9
 Chapter 2 Transport Planning	 10
2.1 The Function of Transport	10
2.2 The Role of the Residential Street	10
(a) Its Current Role	10
(b) Its Intended Role	11
2.3 What Solutions?	11
2.4 Traffic Calming	12
2.5 Implementation	13
(a) Functional Classification Systems	13
2.6 Community Involvement	14
 Chapter 3 Planning for Alternative Forms of Transport	 15
3.1 The Green Modes	15
(a) Attractiveness	15
3.2 Public Transport	16
(a) Legibility, Attractiveness and Accessibility	17
(b) Anti-car Dominance Policies	18
(c) Urban Density	18
(d) Land Use Policies	18
3.3 Heavy Rail	18
3.4 Light Rail (Tram)	19
(a) The Advantages of Light Rail	20
(b) The Disadvantages of Light Rail	20
3.5 Buses	20
(a) The Advantages of Bus Services	20
(b) The Disadvantages of Bus Services	20
3.6 Non-motorised Transport	21
(a) What opportunities are available?	22
(b) The Advantages of Non-Motorised Transport	23
(c) The Disadvantages of Non-Motorised Transport	24

Chapter 4	Case Study - The University of Tasmania.....	25
4.1	Historical Development	25
4.2	The Problems	26
4.2.1	On - Campus.....	27
(a)	Provision of services for the private car.....	27
(b)	Bicycle Facilities	30
(c)	Pedestrian Facilities	30
(d)	Residential Colleges	31
4.2.2	Off-Campus.....	31
(a)	Campus Overspill	31
(b)	Public Transport	33
(c)	Pedestrian Movement	35
(d)	Bicycle Movement.....	36
Chapter 5	Recommendations.....	37
5.1	On Campus	37
(a)	Parking Form and On-Site Residences	37
(b)	Parking Classification.....	39
(c)	Parking Numbers	40
(d)	Street Network/Pedestrians	40
(e)	Bicycle Facilities	42
5.2	Off Campus.....	43
(a)	Street Design/Parking facilities.....	43
(b)	Public Transport	44
(c)	Pedestrian Movement	46
(d)	Bicycle Movement.....	47
Chapter 6	Conclusion.....	49
Appendix 1		
Bibliography		
References		



- | | | | | |
|------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1 CHRIST COLLEGE | 5 AGRICULTURAL SCIENCE | 9 ECONOMICS & COMMERCE | 13 ADMINISTRATION | 17 GEOGRAPHY/GEOLOGY |
| 2 FISHER COLLEGE | 6 LIFE SCIENCES | 10 UNION BUILDING | 14 CENTRAL LIBRARY | 18 CENTENARY BUILDING |
| 3 CSIRO BUILDING | 7 SERVICE CARPARK | 11 ARTS | 15 PHYSICS/MATHEMATICS | 19 ENGINEERING |
| 4 MEDICINE | 8 EDUCATION/HYTEN HALL | 12 UNIVERSITY CENTRE | 16 CHEMISTRY/PHARMACY | 20 LAW |

INTRODUCTION